

# **Internet of Things**

**Lecture 7 - Security Attacks in IoT** 

### **Attacks against IoT**





### **Attacks against IoT**



# Log4j zero-day flaw: What you need to know and how to protect yourself

The Log4j vulnerability affects everything from the cloud to developer tools and security devices. Here's what to look for, according to the latest information.



### **IoT Botnet – DDoS attack**





### **Attacks classification**





5





- Tampering
  - Physical modification
- Malicious Code Injection
  - Modify node behavior
- RF Interference/Jamming
  - Prevent the device from communicating
- Fake Node Injection
  - Capture traffic
  - $\circ \quad \text{Launch attacks} \\$





- Sleep Denial Attack
  - Prevent nodes from sleeping
  - Deplete battery
- Side Channel Attack
  - Attack the physical effects of an implementation
  - Power analysis attack
  - Electromagnetic analysis attack
  - Electromagnetic fault injection
  - Temperature variation
- Permanent Denial of Service (PDoS)
  - Phlashing
  - Destroy/disable device

#### Physical attacks, effects and countermeasures.

| Attack Name                 | Effects                | Countermeasures<br>Proposed | Countermeasure<br>References |
|-----------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|
| Tampering and               | Access to              | PUF based                   | Aman et al.                  |
| Malicious Code              | sensitive              | Authentication              | (2017)                       |
| Injection                   | information and        |                             |                              |
|                             | Gain access; DoS       |                             |                              |
| <b>RF</b> Interfer-         | DoS;                   | CUTE Mote                   | Gomes et al.                 |
| ence/Jamming                | Hinder/Jam             |                             | (2017)                       |
|                             | Communication          |                             |                              |
| Fake Node                   | Control data           | PAuthKey                    | Porambage et al.             |
| Injection                   | flow; Man in the       |                             | (2014)                       |
|                             | Middle                 |                             |                              |
| Sleep Denial                | Node shutdown          | CUTE Mote; Support          | Gomes et al.                 |
|                             |                        | Vector Machine              | (2017) and Hei               |
|                             |                        | (SVM)                       | et al. (2010)                |
| Side Channel                | Collect                | Masking technique;          | Aman et al.,                 |
| Attack                      | <b>Encryption Keys</b> | Authentication using        | 2017 and Choi                |
|                             |                        | PUF                         | and Kim (2016)               |
| Permanent                   | Resource               | NOS Middleware              | Sicari et al.                |
| Denial of Service<br>(PDoS) | Destruction            |                             | (2018)                       |



**Countermeasures** against Physical Attacks

Source: Sengupta et al. A comprehensive survey on attacks, security issues and blockchain solutions for IoT and IIoT.

### **Network Attacks**

- Traffic Analysis Attack
  - intercept packets
- RFID Spoofing
  - steal RFID tag information
  - spoof RFID packets
- RFID Unauthorized Access
  - read/modify/delete data
  - lack of authentication
- Routing Information Attacks
  - falsify/modify routing information
- Selective Forwarding
  - route only some packets, drop others



### **Network Attacks**

- Sinkhole Attack
  - pose itself as gateway/sink
- Wormhole Attack
  - low latency link for tunneling packets
- Sybil Attack
  - Asume multiple identities and locations
- Man in the Middle (MitM) Attack
  - $\circ$   $\;$  Intercept and modify traffic





#### 11

### • Replay Attack

**Network Attacks** 

- retransmit some intercepted packets 0
- overload network 0
- Denial of Service (DoS) Attack
  - disrupt normal functionality 0
  - target network, devices, application Ο
- Distributed Denial of Service (DDoS) Attack  $\bullet$ 
  - a type of DoS Ο
  - carried by multiple nodes Ο



### **Countermeasures against Network Attacks**



#### Network attacks, effects and countermeasures.

| Attack Name                                    | Effects                                     | Countermeasures<br>Proposed                                | Countermeasure<br>References                                  | -<br>-  |
|--|---|--|---|---|
| Traffic Analysis<br>Attack                     | Data Leakage<br>(Network<br>Information)    | Privacy preserving<br>traffic obfuscation<br>framework     | Liu et al. (2018)   | -   |
| RFID Spoofing<br>and<br>Unauthorized<br>Access | Data<br>Manipulation<br>and<br>Modification | SRAM based PUF   | Guin et al.<br>(2018)   |   |
|  | (Read, Write,<br>Delete)                    |  |   |   |
| Routing<br>Information<br>Attacks              | Routing Loops                               | Hash Chain<br>Authentication;                              | Glissa et al.<br>(2016)                                       | Source: Sengupta et al. A comprehensive survey on attacks, security issues and blockchain solutions for IoT and IIoT. |
| Selective<br>Forwarding                        | Message<br>Destruction                      | Hash Chain<br>Authentication;<br>Monitor based<br>approach | Glissa et al.<br>(2016) <b>and</b> Pu<br>and Hajjar<br>(2018) |   |

### **Countermeasures against Network Attacks**



| Network attacks,            | effects and counter                          | measures.  |   |   |
|-----------------------------|--|--|---|---|
| Attack Name                 | Effects                                      | Countermeasures<br>Proposed                          | Countermeasure<br>References                              |   |
| Sinkhole Attack             | Data alteration<br>or leakage                | Hash Chain<br>Authentication;<br>Intrusion Detection | Glissa et al.<br>(2016) and<br>Cervantes et al.<br>(2015) |   |
| Wormhole<br>Attack          | Packet tunneling                             | Clustering based<br>Intrusion Detection<br>System    | Shukla (2017)   |   |
| Sybil Attack                | Unfair resource<br>allocation;<br>Redundancy | Trust aware Protocol                                 | Airehrour et al. (2019)                                   |   |
| Man in the<br>Middle Attack | Data Privacy<br>violation                    | Secure MQTT;<br>Inter-device<br>Authentication       | Singh et al.<br>(2015) and Park<br>and Kang (2015)        | Source: Sengupta et al. A comprehensive survey on attacks, security issues and blockchain solutions for IoT and IIoT. |
| Replay Attack               | Network<br>congestion; DoS                   | Signcryption   | Ashibani and<br>Mahmoud<br>(2017)                         |   |
| DoS/DDoS<br>Attack          | Network<br>Flooding;<br>Network Crash        | EDoS Server; SDN<br>based IoT framework              | Adat and Gupta<br>(2017) and Yin<br>et al. (2018)         |   |

### curity issues and or IoT and IIoT.





- Exploits
- Viruses
- Worms
- Trojans
- Spyware
- Adware
- Backdoors
- Rootkits

## **Countermeasures against Software Attacks**



### Software attacks, effects and countermeasures.

| Attack Name  | Effects                 | Countermeasures<br>Proposed   | Countermeasure<br>References                        |
|--|-------------------------|---|---|
| Virus, Worms,<br>Trojan Horses,<br>Spyware and<br>Adware | Resource<br>Destruction | Lightweight<br>framework; High<br>Level Synthesis<br>(HLS)                  | Liu et al., 2016<br>and Konigsmark<br>et al. (2016) |
| Malware  | Infected Data           | Malware Image<br>Classification;<br>Lightweight Neural<br>Network Framework | Naeem et al.<br>(2018) and Su et<br>al. (2018)      |

Source: Sengupta et al. A comprehensive survey on attacks, security issues and blockchain solutions for IoT and IIoT.





- Data Inconsistency
  - $\circ$   $\;$  Attack on data integrity
  - Data in tranzit or stored data
- Unauthorized Access
  - Data access, data ownership without authorization
- Data Breach
  - o disclosure of sensitive, confidential data

### **Countermeasures against Data Attacks**



#### Data attacks, effects and countermeasures.

| Attacks       | Effects         | Countermeasures<br>Proposed  | Countermeasure<br>References         |
|---------------|-----------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Data          | Data Incon-     | Chaos based scheme;          | Song et al. (2017)                   |
| Inconsistency | sistency        | Blockchain<br>architceture   | and Machado and<br>Fröhlich (2018)   |
| Unauthorized  | Violation of    | Blockchain-based             | Rahulamathavan et                    |
| Access        | Data            | ABE; Privacy                 | al. (2017) and Zheng                 |
| Data Breach   | Privacy<br>Data | Preserving ABE<br>Two Factor | et al. (2018)<br>Gope and Sikdar     |
|               | Leakage         | Authentication; DPP;         | (2018), Gai et al.                   |
|               |                 | ISDD                         | (2018) and Sengupta<br>et al. (2019) |

Source: Sengupta et al. A comprehensive survey on attacks, security issues and blockchain solutions for IoT and IIoT.

### **Real-life Attacks**



- Edimax IP Cameras (Ling et al., 2017)
  - device scanning, brute force, device spoofing
  - $\circ \quad \text{obtain passwords} \quad$
  - take control over cameras
- Smart Home/Smart Metering Systems (Wurm et al., 2016)
  - brute force attacks to obtain passwords
  - smart meters launch attacks
- Virtual Private Assistants VPA (Zhang et al., 2018)
  - Amazon Echo and Google Home
  - third-parties may publish new skills (function)
  - malicious skills
  - voice squatting
  - voice masquerading



#### 19

- **Real-life Attacks** 
  - Attack on DNS Service provider called Dyn (more info)
    - IoT Botnet
    - o affected services of Twitter, Etsy, Github, Soundcloud, Spotify, Shopify, and Intercom
    - disrupted access to PayPal, BBC, Wall Street Journal, Xbox, CNN, HBO Now, Starbucks, New York Times, The Verge, and Financial Times
  - Mirai IoT Botnet (<u>more info</u>)
    - Mirai infected devices searched for other vulnerable devices
    - $\circ$   $\:$  used default passwords and infected other devices
    - shut down huge portions of the Internet
  - Jeep Hack (more info)
    - take total control of a Jeep SUV using the vehicle's CAN bus
    - exploiting a firmware update vulnerability
    - speed up, slow down, veer off the road



### **Tampering Attack Case Study**



- Itron Centron CL200 smart meter
- Analyzed EEPROM & extracted Device ID
- Malicious meter impersonates legitimate meter
- EEPROM is vulnerable to illegitimate reading and writing
- Solution: PUFs
- Challenge-response scheme

|             | PreambleLength: 3024<br>PacketSymbols: 96<br>PacketLength: 13824                    |                            |
|-------------|---|----------------------------|
| BEEBE       | a Same Meter ID 10101 Different Power Re  | adings                     |
| and a serie | 97 SCM:(1):27502044 Type: 7 Consumption:<br>5 SCM:(ID) 7502044 Type: 7 Consumption: | 1009 CRC:0x5               |
|             | 7 SCM:{ID:27502044 Type: 7 Consumption:<br>0 SCM:{ID:27502044 Type: 7 Consumption:  | 1009 CRC:0x5<br>15 CRC:0x6 |
| (a)         | (b)   |                            |

Source: T. Alladi, V. Chamola, B. Sikdar and K. -K. R. Choo, "Consumer IoT: Security Vulnerability Case Studies and Solutions," in *IEEE Consumer Electronics Magazine*, vol. 9, no. 2, pp. 17-25, 2020.

Figure 2. (a) Itron Smart Meter (credit: Itron). (b) Compromised meter readings.



no. 2, pp. 17-25, 2020.

mbedded

aboratoru

- Fitbit Aria Smart Scale
- Sends data & statistics through a wireless AP to the Fitbit server
- MitM attack using Kali Linux
- Attacker obtains SSID & shared key
- Connects to user's network
- May steal private information
- Solution: encrypted

communication channel to server

 Lightweight & robust encryption



**Figure 3.** Attack vector on Fitbit aria.

## Malicious Code Injection Case Study



- Google Nest Thermostat
- Vulnerabilities in the boot process
- Attackers gain remote access to the device
- May gain access to the home network
- Solution: chain-of-trust based secure boot
- Hardware for secure boot

Source: T. Alladi, V. Chamola, B. Sikdar and K. -K. R. Choo, "Consumer IoT: Security Vulnerability Case Studies and Solutions," in *IEEE Consumer Electronics Magazine*, vol. 9, no. 2, pp. 17-25, 2020.



Figure 4. (a) Nest thermostat front (upper image) and back (lower image) plates (credit: Nest). (b) Attack flow.

### **Malicious Node Insertion**



- Edimax IP camera system
  - IP camera, controller, registration and command relay servers
- Infected IoT device (Mirai malware) bot
- TCP SYN message to guess MAC address
- Bot impersonates the camera and registers to the server
- Bot sends TCP requests to command relay server
- Server responds with authentication information
- Attacker gains access to the IP camera
- Botnet
- Solution: identity management, encryption





- Sengupta, Jayasree, Sushmita Ruj, and Sipra Das Bit. "A comprehensive survey on attacks, security issues and blockchain solutions for IoT and IIoT." Journal of Network and Computer Applications 149 (2020): 102481. (pdf)
- T. Alladi, V. Chamola, B. Sikdar and K. -K. R. Choo, "Consumer IoT: Security Vulnerability Case Studies and Solutions," in IEEE Consumer Electronics Magazine, vol. 9, no. 2, pp. 17-25, 2020. (pdf)